

**CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT FINDINGS REGARDING
ADDENDUM NO. 2 TO THE UNIVERSITY HILLS AREA 12 & LONG RANGE
DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT #4 TIERED INITIAL STUDY/MITIGATED
NEGATIVE DECLARATION AND DESIGN APPROVAL FOR
UNIVERSITY HILLS AREA 12-2, IRVINE CAMPUS
State Clearinghouse No. 2021090375**

**I. CONSIDERATION OF THE TIERED INITIAL STUDY/MITIGATED NEGATIVE
DECLARATION AND ADDENDUM NO. 2**

Pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act, Public Resources Code (“PRC”) Sections 21000 *et seq.* and the State CEQA Guidelines, Title 14, California Code of Regulations, Sections 15000 *et seq.* (“CEQA Guidelines”) (collectively, “CEQA”), the Board of Regents (“Regents”) of the University of California (the “University”), or its delegate (collectively referred to herein as the “University”), has considered the Tiered Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) prepared for the University of California, Irvine (“UC Irvine” or “Irvine campus”) University Hills Area 12 & LRDP Amendment #4, State Clearinghouse Number 2021090375, which was adopted by the University in November 2021, and the Addendum No. 2 thereto, dated November 2024, for the University’s design approval of the University Hills Area 12-2 Project.

The IS/MND, including the information contained in the Addendum No. 2, contains the environmental analysis and information necessary to support approval of University Hills Area 12-2 Project (hereafter, the “Project”), as set forth in Section III, below.

II. FINDINGS

A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The University of California, Irvine (UCI) prepared the University Hills Area 12 & Long Range Development Plan (“LRDP”) Amendment #4 IS/MND, which was adopted by the Regents on November 18, 2021 along with the design of University Hills Area 12-1. The IS/MND analyzed two phases together, University Hills Area 12-1 and Area 12-2, which consisted of demolishing 100 faculty/staff apartment units, or approximately 107,000 gross square feet (GSF), to construct up to 220 for-sale, attached faculty/staff housing units. Area 12-1 would demolish the 50 units on the east side of Las Lomas Apartments and construct approximately 110 for-sale, attached units. Area 12-2 would demolish the remaining 50 units on the west side of Las Lomas Apartments and construct approximately 110 for-sale, attached units. The structures would range between three-to-five-story with stacked flats up to 65 feet. The IS/MND analyzed the construction of approximately 410,000 GSF of three-bedroom and two-bath units across the two phases. The IS/MND also included Amendment #4 to the 2007 LRDP, which increased the on-campus faculty/staff housing capacity from 1,700 dwelling units to 1,830 units, an overall increase of 130 units.

In May 2023, Addendum #1 to the IS/MND was prepared, which analyzed the redesign of the first phase, University Hills Area 12-1, as attached townhomes that included 104 units in three-

to-four-story buildings. Units ranged in size from 1,380 to 2,475 square feet, with an average size of 2,000 sf, or approximately 208,000 GSF total. Units included three-to-five bedrooms and three-to-four baths. No changes to the adopted LRDP Amendment occurred as part of the Area 12-1 modifications.

For this Addendum #2, the second phase of the project, University Hills Area 12-2, would redesign the original project description of three-to-five-story stacked flats described in the IS/MND to instead be consistent with the redesign of the Area 12-1 townhomes as addressed in Addendum #1. The proposed Area 12-2 project would construct approximately 82 for-sale, attached townhomes with a height of three-to-four stories. The floorplans would also be consistent with the Area 12-1 floorplans previously addressed in Addendum #1, where the units would range from 1,395 to 2,477 square feet for approximately 183,000 GSF of total space to be constructed. Each unit would contain three-to-five bedrooms and three-to-4.5 bathrooms. No changes to the adopted LRDP Amendment would occur as part of the project modifications.

Planning for the Project is guided by the UC Irvine 2007 LRDP. The 2007 LRDP designates the Project site as Faculty and Staff Housing, which allows for residential housing for University faculty and staff and residential parking. The Project would construct faculty and staff housing, which is consistent with the land use designation for the Project site. Therefore, it has been determined that the Project is consistent with the land use categories in the 2007 LRDP.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS

The University prepared a Tiered Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Project in accordance with CEQA (Public Resources Code § 21000 et seq.), the CEQA Guidelines and the University of California Procedures for the Implementation of CEQA. The University Hills Area 12 & LRDP Amendment #4 IS/MND, in accordance with CEQA Guidelines § 15152, is tiered from the 2007 UCI LRDP EIR (SCH# 2006071024).

As a tiered document, the Tiered Initial Study for the Project relied on the 2007 UCI LRDP EIR for: (1) a discussion of general background and setting information for environmental topic areas; (2) issues that were evaluated in sufficient detail in the 2007 UCI LRDP EIR and do not require additional analysis; (3) issues related to growth on the campus as a whole; and (4) cumulative impacts. The Project is generally consistent with the 2007 UCI LRDP and with the development assumptions of the 2007 UCI LRDP EIR, and tiering is thus proper under Public Resources Code §§ 21080.09 and 21094 and CEQA Guidelines §§ 15081.5(b)(2) and 15152. The 2007 UCI LRDP EIR is available for review online at <https://planningandsustainability.uci.edu/> or in person at UCI Campus Planning & Sustainability, 440 Aldrich Hall, Irvine, CA 92697 by calling (949) 824-8692. These Findings incorporate by reference in their entirety the text of the IS/MND prepared for the Project.

The purpose of the IS/MND was to evaluate the Project's potential environmental effects with respect to the existing analysis in the 2007 UCI LRDP EIR in order to determine what level of initial environmental review, if any, would be appropriate. The IS/MND analyzed the potential

environmental effects of implementation of the University Hills Area 12 project and LRDP Amendment #4 with regard to the following environmental topic areas: aesthetics, air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, energy, geology and soils, greenhouse gas emissions, hazards and hazardous materials, hydrology and water quality, land use and planning, noise, population and housing, public services, recreation, transportation, tribal cultural resources, utilities and service systems, and wildfire.

Based on the analysis in the IS/MND, it was determined that, for all resource areas, the Project would not result in any significant environmental effects that could not be mitigated to less than significant levels. New environmental effects not examined in the 2007 LRDP EIR were identified and were found to be potentially significant, but the new potentially significant effects could be mitigated to a less than significant level with implementation of LRDP EIR mitigation measures and new project-specific mitigation measures. New environmental effects included special status species, wildlife corridors, Natural Community Conservation Plan and Habitat Conservation Plan, tribal cultural resources, and water and wastewater capacities. Based on this analysis, the campus prepared the IS/MND.

The key milestones associated with preparation of the IS/MND are set forth and described below:

The Draft IS/MND was submitted to the Office of Planning and Research's State Clearinghouse and circulated for a 30-day public review period beginning on September 21, 2021 through October 20, 2021 (SCH# 2021090375). The Notice of Intent to Adopt the IS/MND was sent to various federal, State, and local agencies, as well as interested individuals and organizations; published on the previously named UCI Campus Physical & Environmental Planning (now Campus Planning & Sustainability) website and was linked via the Irvine Campus Housing Authority website; and was published in the Orange County Register on September 21, 2021. Comment letters were received from the Irvine Ranch Water District dated October 11, 2021 and the City of Irvine dated October 19, 2021. The Irvine Ranch Water District requested consultation regarding connection of water-related services. The City of Irvine requested additional clarification regarding the increase in faculty/staff housing unit capacity as part of the LRDP Amendment #4, planning status of a potential fire station located on UCI land, and additional information regarding the Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) study. None of the comments received identified a new significant impact not previously analyzed in the Draft Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration. No significant changes or amendments to the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration resulted from public comments and recirculation of the document was not warranted. All comments received and the University's subsequent responses are included in the Final IS/MND. The Final IS/MND was posted to the Campus Physical and Environmental Planning website and responses to comments were sent to the agencies via e-mail on November 3, 2021.

In May 2023, Addendum No. 1 analyzed the environmental effects of the first phase, University Hills Area 12-1, in relation to the environmental analysis in the IS/MND with regard to the following environmental topic areas: aesthetics, air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, energy, geology and soils, greenhouse gas emissions, hazards and hazardous materials, hydrology and water quality, land use and planning, noise, population and housing, public services, recreation, transportation, tribal cultural resources, utilities and service systems, and wildfire. It also identified and incorporated mitigation measures adopted as part of the IS/MND relevant to the first phase, University Hills Area 12-1.

Addendum No. 2 analyzes the environmental effects of the second phase, University Hills Area 12-2, in relation to the environmental analysis in the IS/MND with regard to the following environmental topic areas: aesthetics, air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, energy, geology and soils, greenhouse gas emissions, hazards and hazardous materials, hydrology and water quality, land use and planning, noise, population and housing, public services, recreation, transportation, tribal cultural resources, utilities and service systems, and wildfire. It also identifies mitigation measures adopted as part of the IS/MND relevant to the University Hills Area 12-2 Project that have been incorporated into and must be implemented as part of the University Hills Area 12-2 Project. All mitigation measures in the IS/MND relevant to the University Hills Area 12-2 Project, as well as all components of the University Hills Area 12-2 Project described in Addendum No. 2, are included in the Approval and are made conditions of the University Hills Area 12-2 Project.

C. ADDITIONAL FINDINGS

1. Incorporation by Reference

These Findings incorporate by reference in their entirety the text of Addendum No. 2 prepared for the Project, the IS/MND, the 2007 LRDP EIR, and the Findings adopted in support of the IS/MND previously certified and/or adopted by the University.

2. Mitigation Monitoring

The University adopted a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (“MMRP”) in connection with the adoption of the IS/MND. The MMRP includes mitigation measures applicable to the University Hills Area 12-2 Project and designates responsibility and anticipated timing to ensure the implementation of adopted mitigation measures within the jurisdiction of UC Irvine.

The following mitigation measures identified in the MMRP and are hereby incorporated into the University Hills Area 12-2 Project:

Environmental Issue Area	Impact and Mitigation Measure
Aesthetics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LRDP EIR Aes-2A: Prior to project design approval for future projects that implement the 2007 LRDP, UCI shall ensure that the projects include design features to minimize glare impacts. These design features shall include use of non-reflective exterior surfaces and low-reflectance glass (e.g., double or triple glazing glass, high technology glass, low-E glass, or equivalent materials with low reflectivity) on all project surfaces that could produce glare. • LRDP EIR Aes-2B: Prior to approval of construction documents for future projects that implement the 2007 LRDP, UCI shall approve an exterior lighting plan for each project. In accordance with UCI’s Campus Standards and Design Criteria for outdoor lighting, the plan

	<p>shall include, but not be limited to, the following design features: Full-cutoff lighting fixtures to direct lighting to the specific location intended for illumination (e.g., roads, walkways, or recreation fields) and to minimize stray light spillover into adjacent residential areas, sensitive biological habitat, and other light-sensitive receptors; appropriate intensity of lighting to provide campus safety and security while minimizing light pollution and energy consumption; and shielding direct lighting within parking areas, parking structures, or roadways away from adjacent residential areas, sensitive biological habitat, and other light-sensitive receptors through site configuration, grading, lighting design, or barriers such as earthen berms, walls, or landscaping.</p>
Biological Resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BIO-1: If grading or site disturbance is to occur between January 1 through August 15 for raptors and February 15 through August 31 for all other avian species, a nesting bird survey shall be conducted within all suitable habitat, onsite and within 300-feet surrounding the site (as feasible), by a qualified biologist within no more than 5 days of scheduled vegetation removal or start of ground disturbing activities, to determine the presence of nests or nesting birds. If active nests are identified, the biologist shall establish buffers around the vegetation (500 feet for raptors and sensitive species, 200 feet for non-raptors/non-sensitive species). All work within these buffers shall be halted until the nesting effort is finished (i.e. the juveniles are surviving independent from the nest). The onsite biologist shall review and verify compliance with the no-work buffers and verify the nesting effort has finished. Work can resume when no other active nests are found onsite or within the surrounding buffer area. Alternatively, a qualified biologist may determine that construction can be permitted within the buffer areas of an active nest with preparation and implementation of a monitoring plan to prevent any impacts while the nest continues to be active (eggs, chicks, etc.). Upon completion of the survey and any follow-up construction avoidance management, a report shall be prepared documenting mitigation monitoring compliance. If ground disturbances have not commenced within 5 days of a negative survey or if construction activities have stopped for 5 days or longer, the nesting survey must be repeated to confirm the absence of nesting birds. • BIO-2: A qualified biologist shall remain on-site during all vegetation clearing and perform periodic site inspections (1-2 times/week) during grading-related activities. Should a white-tailed kite nest be detected, a buffer of a minimum of 500 feet shall be established and no activity shall occur within the buffer zone until the biologist determines, and CDFW confirms, that all chicks have fledged and are no longer reliant on the nest site.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BIO-3: The landscape plans for the proposed project shall include tree species that provide suitable nesting habitat for the white-tailed kite, selected in consultation with campus biologist, such as, Western Sycamore (<i>Plantanus Racemosa</i>), London Planetree (<i>Platanus x acerifolia</i>), coast live oak (<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>), or similar trees with height that provide suitable nesting habitat for white-tailed kite. • BIO-4: Prior to vegetation clearing, in conjunction with UCI Biologists, a Nesting Bird Management Plan (NBP) shall be prepared that includes project specific avoidance and minimization measures to ensure that impacts to nesting birds do not occur and that the project complies with all applicable laws related to nesting birds and birds of prey. Migratory non-game native bird species are protected by international treaty under the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) of 1918, as amended (16 U.S.C. 703 et seq.). In addition, Sections 3503, 3503.5, and 3513 of the FGC prohibit the take of all birds and their nests. The NBP shall include, at a minimum: monitoring protocols; survey timing and duration; and project-specific avoidance and minimization measures including, but not limited to: project phasing and timing, monitoring of project-related noise, sound walls, and buffers. If an active bird nest is located, the Designated Biologist(s) shall implement and monitor specific avoidance and minimization measures as specified in the prepared NBP.
<p>Cultural Resources</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LRDP EIR Cul-1C: Prior to land clearing, grading, or similar land development activities for future projects that implement the 2007 LRDP in areas of identified archaeological sensitivity, UCI shall retain a qualified archaeologist (and, if necessary, a culturally affiliated Native American) to monitor these activities. In the event of an unexpected archaeological discovery during grading, the on-site construction supervisor shall redirect work away from the location of the archaeological find. A qualified archaeologist shall oversee the evaluation and recovery of archaeological resources, in accordance with the procedures listed below, after which the on-site construction supervisor shall be notified and shall direct work to continue in the location of the archaeological find. A record of monitoring activity shall be submitted to UCI each month and at the end of monitoring. If an archaeological discovery is determined to be significant, the archaeologist shall prepare and implement a data recovery plan. The plan shall include, but not be limited to, the following measures: Perform appropriate technical analyses; file resulting reports with South Coast Information Center; and provide the recovered materials to an appropriate repository for curation, in consultation with a culturally-affiliated Native American.

<p>Geology and Soils</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LRDP EIR Cul-4A: Prior to grading or excavation for future projects that implement the 2007 LRDP and would excavate sedimentary rock material other than topsoil, UCI shall retain a qualified paleontology to monitor these activities. In the event fossils are discovered during grading, the on-site construction supervisor shall be notified and shall redirect work away from the location of the discovery. The recommendations of the paleontologist shall be implemented with respect to the evaluation and recovery of fossils, in accordance with mitigation measures Cul-4B and Cul-4C, after which the on-site construction supervisor shall be notified and shall direct work to continue in the location of the fossil discovery. A record of monitoring activity shall be submitted to UCI each month and at the end of monitoring. • LRDP EIR Cul-4B: If the fossils are determined to be significant, then mitigation measure Cul-4C shall be implemented. • LRDP EIR Cul-4C: For significant fossils as determined by mitigation measure Cul-4B, the paleontologist shall prepare and implement a data recovery plan. The plan shall include, but not be limited to, the following measures: The paleontologist shall ensure that all significant fossils collected are cleaned, identified, catalogued, and permanently curated with an appropriate institution with a research interest in the materials (which may include UCI); the paleontologist shall ensure that specialty studies are completed, as appropriate, for any significant fossil collected; and the paleontologist shall ensure that curation of fossils are completed in consultation with UCI. A letter of acceptance from the curation institution shall be submitted to UCI.
<p>Hazards and Hazardous Materials</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LRDP EIR Haz-6A: Prior to initiating on-site construction for future projects that implement the 2007 LRDP and would involve a land or roadway closure, UCI Fire Marshal shall be notified. If determined necessary by the UCI Fire Marshal, local emergency services shall be notified of the lane or roadway closure by the Fire Marshal.
<p>Hydrology and Water Quality</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LRDP EIR Hyd1A: As early as possible in the planning process of future projects that implement the 2007 LRDP and would result in land disturbance of 1 acre or greater, and for all development projects occurring on the North Campus in the watershed of the San Joaquin Freshwater Marsh, a qualified engineer shall complete a drainage study. Design features and other recommendations from the drainage study shall be incorporated into project development plans and construction documents. Design features shall be consistent with UCI's Storm Water Management Program, shall be operational at the time of project occupancy, and shall be maintained by UCI. At a minimum, all drainage studies required by this mitigation measure shall include, but not be limited to, the following design features: Site design that controls runoff discharge volumes and durations shall be utilized, where applicable and feasible, to maintain or reduce the

	<p>peak runoff for the 10-year, 6-hour storm event in the post-development condition compared to the pre-development condition, or as defined by current water quality regulatory requirements. Measures that control runoff discharge volumes and durations shall be utilized, where applicable and feasible, on manufactured slopes and newly-graded drainage channels, such as energy dissipaters, revegetation (e.g., hydroseeding and/or plantings), and slope/channel stabilizers.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• LRDP EIR Hyd-2A: Prior to initiating on-site construction for future projects that implement the 2007 LRDP, UCI shall approve an erosion control plan for project construction. The plan shall include, but not be limited to, the following applicable measures to protect downstream areas from sediment and other pollutants during site grading and construction: Proper storage, use, and disposal of construction materials; removal of sediment from surface runoff before it leaves the site through the use of silt fences, gravel bags, fiber rolls or other similar measures around the site perimeter; protection of storm drain inlets on-site or downstream of the construction site through the use of gravel bags, fiber rolls, filtration inserts, or other similar measures; stabilization of cleared or graded slopes through the use of plastic sheeting, geotextile fabric, jute matting, tackifiers, hydro-mulching, revegetation (e.g., hydroseeding and/or plantings), or other similar measures; protection or stabilization of stockpiled soils through the use of tarping, plastic sheeting, tackifiers, or other similar measures; prevention of sediment tracked or otherwise transported onto adjacent roadways through use of gravel strips or wash facilities at exit areas (or equivalent measures); removal of sediment tracked or otherwise transported onto adjacent roadways through periodic street sweeping; and maintenance of the above-listed sediment control, storm drain inlet protection, slope/stockpile stabilization measures.• LRDP EIR Hyd-2B: Prior to project design approval for future projects that implement the 2007 LRDP and would result in land disturbance of 1 acre or more, the UCI shall ensure that the projects include the design features listed below, or their equivalent, in addition to those listed in mitigation measure Hyd-1A. Equivalent design features may be applied consistent with applicable MS4 permits (UCI's Storm Water Management Plan) at that time. All applicable design features shall be incorporated into project development plans and construction documents; shall be operational at the time of project occupancy; and shall be maintained by UCI: All new storm drain inlets and catch basins within the project site shall be marked with prohibitive language and/or graphical icons to discourage illegal dumping per UCI standards; outdoor areas for
--	---

	<p>storage of materials that may contribute pollutants to the storm water conveyance system shall be covered and protected by secondary containment; permanent trash container areas shall be enclosed to prevent off-site transport of trash, or drainage from open trash container areas shall be directed to the sanitary sewer system, and at least one treatment control is required for new parking areas or structures, or for any other new uses identified by UCI as having the potential to generate substantial pollutants. Treatment controls include, but are not limited to, detention basins, infiltration basins, wet ponds or wetlands, bio-swales, filtration devices/inserts at storm drain inlets, hydrodynamic separator systems, increased use of street sweepers, pervious pavement, native California plants and vegetation to minimize water usage, and climate controlled irrigation systems to minimize overflow. Treatment controls shall incorporate volumetric or flow-based design standards to mitigate (infiltrate, filter, or treat) storm water runoff, as appropriate.</p>
<p>Tribal Cultural Resources</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If subsurface deposits believed to be cultural or human in origin, or tribal cultural resources, are discovered during construction all work shall halt within a 50-foot radius of the discovery, the Construction Manager shall immediately notify UCI Physical and Environmental Planning and Design and Construction Services. The Construction Manager shall also immediately coordinate with the tribal monitor and an archaeologist meeting the Secretary of the Interior’s Professional Qualification Standards for archaeology and subject to approval by UCI to evaluate the significance of the find and develop appropriate management recommendations. All management recommendations shall be provided to UCI in writing for UCI’s review and approval. If recommended by the qualified professional and consulting tribes, and approved by UCI, this may include modification of the no-work radius. <p>The professional archaeologist must make a determination, based on professional judgement and supported by substantial evidence, within one business day of being notified, as to whether or not the find represents a cultural resource or has the potential to be a tribal cultural resource. The subsequent actions will be determined by the type of discovery, as described below. These include: 1) a work pause that, upon further investigation, is not actually a discovery and the work pause was simply needed in order to allow for closer examination of soil (a “false alarm”); 2) a work pause and subsequent action for discoveries that are clearly not related to tribal cultural resources, such as can and bottle dumps, artifacts of European origin, and remnants of built environment features; and 3) a work pause and subsequent action for discoveries that are likely related to tribal cultural resources, such as midden soil, bedrock mortars, groundstone, or other similar expressions.</p>

	<p>Whenever there is question as to whether or not the discovery represents a tribal resource, culturally affiliated tribes shall be consulted in making the determination. The following processes shall apply, depending on the nature of the find, subject to the review and approval of UCI:</p> <p>Response to False Alarms: If the professional archaeologist in consultation with the tribal representative determines that the find is negative for any cultural indicators, then work may resume immediately upon notice to proceed from UCI's representative. No further notifications or tribal consultation is necessary, because the discovery is not a cultural resource of any kind. The professional archaeologist shall provide written documentation of this finding to UCI.</p> <p>Response to Non-Tribal Discoveries: If at the time of discovery a professional archaeologist and tribal representative determines that the find represents a non-tribal cultural resource from any time period or cultural affiliation, UCI shall be notified immediately, to consult on a finding of eligibility and implementation of appropriate treatment measures.</p> <p>Response to Tribal Discoveries: If the find represents a tribal or potentially tribal cultural resource that does not include human remains, the tribe and UCI shall be notified. UCI will consult with the tribe on a finding of eligibility and implement appropriate treatment measures, if the find is determined to be either a Historical Resource under CEQA, as defined in Section 15064.5(a) of the CEQA Guidelines, or a Tribal Cultural Resource, as defined in Section 21074 of the Public Resources Code. Preservation in place is the preferred treatment, if feasible. Work shall not resume within a 50-foot radius until UCI, through consultation as appropriate, determines that the site either: 1) is not a Historical Resource under CEQA, as defined in Section 15064.5(a) of the CEQA Guidelines; or 2) not a Tribal Cultural Resource, as defined in Section 21074 of the Public Resources Code; or 3) that the treatment measures have been completed to its satisfaction.</p> <p>Response to Human Remains: If the find includes human remains, or remains that are potentially human, the construction supervisor or on-site archaeologist shall ensure reasonable protection measures are taken to protect the discovery from disturbance (AB 2641) and shall notify UCI and the Orange County Coroner (per § 7050.5 of the</p>
--	---

	<p>Health and Safety Code). The provisions of § 7050.5 of the California Health and Safety Code, § 5097.98 of the California Public Resources Code, and Assembly Bill 2641 shall be implemented. If the Coroner determines the remains are Native American and not the result of a crime scene, the Coroner will notify the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC), which then will designate a Native American Most Likely Descendant (MLD) for the Project (§ 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code). The designated MLD will have 48 hours from the time access to the property is granted to make recommendations concerning treatment of the remains. Public Resources Code § 5097.94 provides structure for mediation through the NAHC if necessary. If no agreement is reached, UCI shall rebury the remains in a respectful manner where they will not be further disturbed (§ 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code). This will also include either recording the site with the NAHC or the appropriate Information Center; using an open space or conservation zoning designation or easement; or recording a reinternment document with the Orange County Clerk’s Office (AB 2641). Work shall not resume within the no-work radius until UCI, through consultation as appropriate, determines that the treatment measures have been completed to its satisfaction.</p>
<p>Utilities and Service Systems</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • During the design phase of the proposed project and prior to the start of construction, UCI shall retain a qualified professional engineer to prepare a Sub Area Master Plan in coordination with IRWD. A complete report of all findings shall be submitted to IRWD for review.

3. Record of Proceedings

Various documents and other materials constitute the record of proceedings upon which the University bases its findings and decision contained herein. Because of the complexity of the issues addressed in connection with the review of the Project, these documents and materials are located in various offices of the UC Irvine campus, the Office of Campus Planning & Sustainability, and/or offices of consultants retained by the University to assist with the development and analysis of the Project. The custodian for these documents and materials is the Office of Campus Planning & Sustainability, located at 440 Aldrich Hall, Irvine, CA 92697.

III. APPROVALS

The University hereby takes the following actions:

- A. Adopt the CEQA Findings for University Hills Area 12-2 Project having considered the University Hills Area 12 & LRDP Amendment #4 IS/MND, as well as Addendum No. 2 to the IS/MND for the University Hills Area 12-2 Project.

UNIVERSITY HILLS AREA 12-2

IRVINE

CEQA FINDINGS

Page 12

- B.** Make a condition of approval, the implementation of applicable mitigation measures within the responsibility and jurisdiction of UC Irvine as identified in the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program adopted in connection with the IS/MND.
- C.** Approve the design as revised of University Hills Area 12-2, Irvine, based on the information contained herein.